arXiv:1410.7349v1 [math.NT] 27 Oct 2014

SINGULAR INVARIANTS AND COEFFICIENTS OF WEAK HARMONIC MAASS FORMS OF WEIGHT 5/2

NICKOLAS ANDERSEN

Abstract. We study the coefficients of a natural basis for the space of weak harmonic Maass forms of weight 5/2 on the full modular group. The non-holomorphic part of the first element of this infinite basis encodes the values of the partition function $p(n)$. We show that the coefficients of these harmonic Maass forms are given by traces of singular invariants. These are values of non-holomorphic modular functions at CM points or their real quadratic analogues: cycle integrals of such functions along geodesics on the modular curve. The real quadratic case relates to recent work of Duke, Imamoğlu, and Tóth on cycle integrals of the j-function, while the imaginary quadratic case recovers the algebraic formula of Bruinier and Ono for the partition function.

1. Introduction

A *weak*[1] *harmonic Maass form* of weight k is a real analytic function on the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$ which transforms like a modular form of weight k, is annihilated by the weight k hyperbolic Laplacian

$$\Delta_k := -y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)+iky\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \qquad \tau = x+iy \tag{1.1}$$

and has at most linear exponential growth at the cusps. Such a form h has a natural decomposition $h = h^+ + h^-$ into the holomorphic part h^+ (also called a *mock modular form*) and the nonholomorphic part h^-. Let ξ_k denote the differential operator

$$\xi_k := 2iy^k\overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\tau}}}.$$

The function $g := \xi_k h = \xi_k h^-$, the so-called *shadow* of h^+, is a weakly holomorphic modular form (a modular form whose poles, if any, are supported at the cusps) of weight $2-k$. See [11, 24] for background on harmonic Maass forms.

A natural problem is to determine what arithmetic data, if any, is encoded in the coefficients of mock modular forms. Although such coefficients are not well understood in general, significant progress has been made recently in certain cases. For instance, Ramanujan's mock theta functions (whose coefficients encode combinatorial information) are mock modular forms of weight 1/2 whose shadows are linear combinations of weight 3/2 theta series. Zwegers' discovery connecting mock theta functions to harmonic Maass forms [33, 34] has inspired many works (see [5, 7, 32] and the references therein for examples). Recently Bruinier and Ono [8] considered harmonic Maass forms whose shadows are cusp forms orthogonal to the weight 3/2 theta series. They showed that the coefficients of these forms are related to central critical values and derivatives of weight 2 modular L-functions. Later

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F37; Secondary 11P82.

[1]For brevity, we will drop the adjective "weak" throughout this paper.

Bruinier [10] connected these coefficients to periods of algebraic differentials of the third kind on modular and elliptic curves.

In this paper, we investigate the arithmetic nature of the coefficients of mock modular forms of weight $5/2$ whose shadows are weakly holomorphic modular forms. This relates to work of Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth [14] regarding a particularly interesting family of weight $1/2$ mock modular forms which are related to Zagier's proof [31] of Borcherds' theorem [4] on infinite product formulas for modular forms. Let J denote the normalized Hauptmodul for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$J(\tau) := j(\tau) - 744 = \frac{1}{q} + 196884q + \dots, \qquad q := \exp(2\pi i\tau).$$

For each nonzero discriminant $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$, let $\mathscr{Q}_d$ denote the set of quadratic forms of discriminant d which are positive definite when $d < 0$. The modular group $\Gamma_1 := \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on these forms, and the set $\Gamma_1\backslash\mathscr{Q}_d$ is a finite abelian group.

If Q is positive definite then $Q(\tau, 1)$ has exactly one zero τ_Q in $\mathbb{H}$. For fixed d, the values

$$J(\tau_Q), \qquad Q \in \Gamma_1\backslash\mathscr{Q}_d \tag{1.2}$$

are conjugate algebraic integers known as *singular moduli*. Zagier [31] showed that the weighted sums

$$\sum_{Q\in\Gamma_1\backslash\mathscr{Q}_{dD}} \frac{\chi_D(Q)}{w_Q} J(\tau_Q) \tag{1.3}$$

appear as coefficients of a basis $\{g_D\}_{0<D\equiv 0,1(4)}$ for $\mathcal{M}^+_{3/2}$, the plus-space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $3/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$. Here w_Q denotes the order of the stabilizer of Q in Γ_1, and $\chi_D : \mathscr{Q}_d \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is a generalized genus character (see Section I.2 of [16]).

The coefficients of the forms in Zagier's basis $\{g_D\}$ also appear as coefficients of forms in the basis $\{f_D\}_{0\geq D\equiv 0,1(4)}$ given by Borcherds in Section 14 of [4]. Borcherds showed that the coefficients of the f_D are the exponents in the infinite product expansions of certain meromorphic modular forms. In [14], Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth extended Borcherds' basis to a basis $\{f_D\}_{D\equiv 0,1(4)}$ for $\mathbb{M}_{1/2}$, the space of mock modular forms of weight $1/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ satisfying Kohnen's plus-space condition. When $D > 0$, the shadow of the mock modular form f_D is proportional to the weakly holomorphic form g_D. Whereas the forms f_D for $D < 0$ encode imaginary quadratic information in the form of traces of singular moduli, the forms f_D for $D > 0$ encode real quadratic information in the form of traces of cycle integrals of $J(\tau)$. To explain this requires some notation.

For an indefinite quadratic form Q with non-square discriminant, let C_Q denote the geodesic in $\mathbb{H}$ connecting the two (necessarily irrational) roots of Q, modulo the stabilizer of Q. Then C_Q defines a closed geodesic on the modular curve $\Gamma_1\backslash\mathbb{H}\cup\{\infty\}$, and the cycle integral

$$\int_{C_Q} J(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)} \tag{1.4}$$

is a well-defined invariant of the equivalence class of Q. The beautiful result of Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth [14] interprets the coefficient $a(D, d)$ of q^d in f_D $(D > 0)$ in terms of the sums

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{Q\in\Gamma_1\backslash\mathscr{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q)\int_{C_Q} J(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}, \tag{1.5}$$

whenever dD is not a square. However, their theorem does not include the coefficients $a(D,d)$ when dD is a square since, in that case, the integral (1.4) diverges. There are two approaches to studying these square-indexed coefficients. The first method, by Bruinier, Funke and Imamoḡlu in [9], involves regularizing the divergent integral (1.4); their method generalizes the results of [14] to a large class of non-holomorphic modular functions. The second method, by the present author in [2], involves replacing $J(\tau)$ in (1.4) by $J_Q(\tau)$, a dampened version of $J(\tau)$ which depends on Q (and for which the resulting integral converges).

Here we study $H^!_{5/2}(\varepsilon)$, the space of harmonic Maass forms of weight $5/2$ which transform as

$$f(\gamma\tau) = \varepsilon(\gamma)(c\tau+d)^{5/2} f(\tau) \quad \text{for all } \gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here ε is the multiplier system defined by

$$\varepsilon(\gamma\tau) := \frac{\eta(\gamma\tau)}{\sqrt{c\tau+d}\,\eta(\tau)},$$

where η is the Dedekind eta-function $\eta(\tau) := q^{1/24}\prod_{k\geq 1}(1-q^k)$. We will show that the coefficients of forms in $H^!_{5/2}(\varepsilon)$ are given by traces of singular invariants; that is, sums of CM values of certain weight 0 weak Maass forms on $\Gamma_0(6)$ in the imaginary quadratic case, or cycle integrals of those forms over geodesics on the modular curve

$$X_0(6) := \widehat{\Gamma_0(6)\backslash\mathbb{H}}$$

in the real quadratic case.

In [1], the author and Ahlgren constructed an infinite basis $\{h_m\}_{m\equiv 1(24)}$ for $H^!_{5/2}(\varepsilon)$. For $m<0$ the h_m are holomorphic, while for $m>0$ they are non-holomorphic harmonic Maass forms whose shadows are weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $-1/2$. The first element, $\boldsymbol{P} := h_1$, has Fourier expansion[2]

$$\boldsymbol{P}(\tau) = i\,q^{1/24} + \sum_{0<n\equiv 1(24)} n\,p(1,n)q^{n/24} - i\beta(-y)q^{1/24} + \sum_{0>n\equiv 1(24)} |n|\,p(1,n)\beta(|n|y)q^{n/24}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\beta(y)$ is the normalized incomplete gamma function

$$\beta(y) := \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2},\frac{\pi y}{6}\right)}{\Gamma(-\frac{3}{2})} = \frac{3}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{\pi y/6}^{\infty} e^{-t}t^{-5/2}dt.$$

The function $\boldsymbol{P}(\tau)$ is of particular interest since $\xi_{5/2}(\boldsymbol{P})$ is proportional to

$$\eta^{-1}(\tau) = q^{-1/24} + \sum_{0>n\equiv 1(24)} p\left(\tfrac{1-n}{24}\right) q^{|n|/24},$$

where $p\left(\frac{1-n}{24}\right)$ is the ordinary partition function. Corollary 2 of [1] shows that that for negative $n\equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ we have $p(1,n) = \sqrt{|n|}\,p\left(\frac{1-n}{24}\right)$.

Building on work of Hardy and Ramanujan [17], Rademacher [25, 26] proved the exact formula

$$p(k) = 2\pi(24k-1)^{-3/4}\sum_{c>0}\frac{A_c(k)}{c}I_{3/2}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{24k-1}}{6c}\right),$$

[2]Note that here, and in (1.12) and (1.13), we have renormalized the functions $\boldsymbol{P}$ and h_m from [1]. See (5.1) below.

where $I_{3/2}(x)$ is the I-Bessel function and $A_c(k)$ is a Kloosterman sum. Using Rademacher's formula, Bringmann and Ono [6] showed that $p(k)$ can be written as a sum of CM values of a certain non-holomorphic Maass-Poincaré series on $\Gamma_0(6)$. Later Bruinier and Ono [12] refined the results of [6]; by applying a theta lift to a certain weight -2 modular form, they obtained a new formula for $p(k)$ as a finite sum of algebraic numbers.

The formula of Bruinier and Ono involves a certain non-holomorphic, $\Gamma_0(6)$-invariant function $P(\tau)$, which the authors define in the following way. Let

$$F(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{E_2(\tau) - 2E_2(2\tau) - 3E_2(3\tau) + 6E_2(6\tau)}{(\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau))^2} = q^{-1} - 10 - 29q - \dots,$$

where E_2 denotes the weight 2 quasi-modular Eisenstein series

$$E_2(\tau) := 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} dq^n.$$

The function $F(\tau)$ is in $M^!_{-2}(\Gamma_0(6), 1, -1)$, the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight -2 on $\Gamma_0(6)$, having eigenvalues 1 and -1 under the Atkin-Lehner involutions W_6 and W_2, respectively (see Section 2 for definitions). The function $P(\tau)$ is the weak Maass form given by

$$P(\tau) := \frac{1}{4\pi} R_{-2} F(\tau) = -\left(q\frac{d}{dq} + \frac{1}{2\pi y} \right) F(\tau),$$

where R_{-2} is the Maass raising operator in weight -2 (see Section 2 for details).

For each $n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and $r \in \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)} := \{[a, b, c] \in \mathscr{Q}_n : 6 \mid a \text{ and } b \equiv r \pmod{12}\}.$$

Here $[a, b, c]$ denotes the quadratic form $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$. The group $\Gamma := \Gamma_0(6)/\{\pm 1\}$ acts on $\mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)}$, and for each r we have the canonical isomorphism (see [16, Section I])

$$\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_1 \backslash \mathscr{Q}_n. \tag{1.7}$$

Bruinier and Ono [12, Theorem 1.1] proved the finite algebraic formula

$$p\left(\tfrac{1-n}{24}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(1)}} P(\tau_Q), \tag{1.8}$$

for each $0 > n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. Therefore the coefficients of the non-holomorphic part of $\boldsymbol{P}(\tau)$ are given in terms of singular invariants (note the similarity with the expression (1.3)).

For the holomorphic part of $\boldsymbol{P}(\tau)$ one might suspect by analogy with (1.5) that the traces

$$\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(1)}} \int_{C_Q} P(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)} \tag{1.9}$$

give the coefficients $p(1, n)$. However, as we show in the remarks following Proposition 7 below, the traces (1.9) are identically zero whenever n is not a square. In order to describe the arithmetic nature of the coefficients $p(1, n)$, we must introduce the function $P(\tau, s)$, of which $P(\tau)$ is the specialization at $s = 2$ (see Section 2 for the definition of $P(\tau, s)$). Similarly, for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n^{(1)}$ whose discriminant is a square, we will define $P_Q(\tau, s)$, a dampened version

of $P(\tau, s)$ (see Section 3). These are analogues of the functions $J_Q(\tau)$ and are defined in (3.13) below. Then, for each $n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ we define the trace

$$\mathrm{Tr}(n) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{|n|}} \displaystyle\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(1)}} P(\tau_Q) & \text{if } n < 0, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(1)}} \int_{C_Q} \left[\dfrac{\partial}{\partial s} P(\tau, s) \Big|_{s=2} \right] \dfrac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)} & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ is not a square}, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(1)}} \int_{C_Q} \left[\dfrac{\partial}{\partial s} P_Q(\tau, s) \Big|_{s=2} \right] \dfrac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)} & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ is a square}. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

We have the following theorem which provides an arithmetic interpretation for the coefficients of $\boldsymbol{P}(\tau)$ by relating them to the traces (1.10). It is a special case of Theorem 2 below.

Theorem 1. *For each $n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ we have*

$$p(1, n) = \mathrm{Tr}(n). \tag{1.11}$$

Remark. Since $p(1, n) = \sqrt{|n|}\, p\left(\frac{1-n}{24}\right)$ for $0 > n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$, Theorem 1 recovers the algebraic formula (1.8) of Bruinier and Ono. However, our proof is quite different than the proof given in [12].

Recall that $\boldsymbol{P} = h_1$ is the first element of an infinite basis $\{h_m\}$ for $H_{5/2}(\varepsilon)$. We turn to the other harmonic Maass forms h_m for general $m \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. For negative m, we have the Fourier expansion (see [1, Theorem 1])

$$h_m(\tau) = |m|^{3/2} q^{m/24} - \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 (24)} |mn|\, p(m, n) q^{n/24}. \tag{1.12}$$

These forms are holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$ and can be constructed using $\eta(\tau)$ and $j'(\tau) := -q\frac{d}{dq} j(\tau)$. We list a few examples here.

$$\begin{aligned} 23^{-\frac{3}{2}} h_{-23} &= \eta\, j' \\ &= q^{-23/24} - q^{1/24} - 196\,885\, q^{25/24} - 42\,790\,636\, q^{49/24} - \dots, \\ 47^{-\frac{3}{2}} h_{-47} &= \eta\, j'(j - 743) \\ &= q^{-47/24} - 2\, q^{1/24} - 21\,690\,645\, q^{25/24} - 40\,513\,206\,272\, q^{49/24} - \dots, \\ 71^{-\frac{3}{2}} h_{-71} &= \eta\, j'(j^2 - 1487j + 355\,910) \\ &= q^{-71/24} - 3\, q^{1/24} - 886\,187\,500\, q^{25/24} - 8\,543\,738\,297\,129\, q^{49/24} - \dots. \end{aligned}$$

For positive m, we have the Fourier expansion (see [1, Theorem 1])

$$\begin{aligned} h_m = i\, m^{3/2} q^{m/24} &+ \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 (24)} mn\, p(m, n) q^{n/24} \\ &- i\, m^{3/2} \beta(-my) q^{m/24} + \sum_{0 > n \equiv 1 (24)} |mn|\, p(m, n) \beta(|n| y) q^{n/24}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

For these m, the shadow of h_m is proportional to the weight $-1/2$ form

$$g_m(\tau) := m^{-3/2}q^{-m/24} + \sum_{0>n\equiv 1(24)} |mn|^{-1/2}\, p(n,m)q^{|n|/24},$$

with $p(n,m)$ as in (1.12). When $mn<0$, we have the relation $p(n,m) = -p(m,n)$. The first few examples of these forms are

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= \eta^{-1} \\
&= q^{-\frac{1}{24}} + q^{\frac{23}{24}} + 2\,q^{\frac{47}{24}} + 3\,q^{\frac{71}{24}} + 5\,q^{\frac{95}{24}} + 7\,q^{\frac{119}{24}} + 11\,q^{\frac{143}{24}} + \dots, \\
5^3 g_{25} &= \eta^{-1}(j-745) \\
&= q^{-\frac{25}{24}} + 196\,885\ q^{23/24} + 21\,690\,645\,q^{47/24} + 886\,187\,500\,q^{71/24} + \dots, \\
7^3 g_{49} &= \eta^{-1}(j^2 - 1489j + 160\,511) \\
&= q^{-\frac{49}{24}} + 42\,790\,636\,q^{23/24} + 40\,513\,206\,272\,q^{47/24} + 8\,543\,738\,297\,129\,q^{71/24} + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have the relation $p(m,n) = p(n,m)$ when $m,n>0$ (see [1, Corollary 2]).

In order to give an arithmetic interpretation for the coefficients $p(m,n)$ for general m, we require a family of functions $\{P_v(\tau,s)\}_{v\in\mathbb{N}}$ whose first member is $P_1(\tau,s) = P(\tau,s)$. We construct these functions in Section 2 using non-holomorphic Maass-Poincaré series. The specializations $P_v(\tau) := P_v(\tau,2)$ can be obtained by raising the elements of a certain basis $\{F_v\}_{v\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $M_{-2}(\Gamma_0(6),1,-1)$ to weight 0. The functions F_v are uniquely determined by having Fourier expansion $F_v = q^{-v} + O(1)$. They are easily constructed from F and the $\Gamma_0(6)$-Hauptmodul

$$J_6(\tau) := \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau)}\right)^4 + \left(3\frac{\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)}\right)^4 = q^{-1} - 4 + 79q + 352q^2 + \dots.$$

For example, $F_1 = F$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 &= F(J_6 + 14) = q^{-2} - 50 - 832\,q - 5693\,q^2 - \dots, \\
F_3 &= F(J_6^2 + 18J_6 + 27) = q^{-3} - 190 - 7371\,q - 108\,216\,q^2 - \dots, \\
F_4 &= F(J_6^3 + 22J_6^2 + 20J_6 - 1160) = q^{-4} - 370 - 48\,640\,q - 1\,100\,352\,q^2 - \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

We also require functions $P_{v,Q}(\tau,s)$ for each quadratic form with square discriminant. These are dampened versions of the functions $P_v(\tau,s)$, and are constructed in Section 3.

With $\chi_m : \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)} \to \{-1,0,1\}$ as in (3.7) below, we define the general twisted traces for $m,n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_v(m,n) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{|mn|}} \displaystyle\sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) P_v(\tau_Q) & \text{if } mn<0, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) \int_{C_Q} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_v(\tau,s)\Big|_{s=2}\right] \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau,1)} & \text{if } mn>0 \\ & \text{is not a square,} \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) \int_{C_Q} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_{v,Q}(\tau,s)\Big|_{s=2}\right] \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau,1)} & \text{if } mn>0 \\ & \text{is a square.} \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

The main theorem relates the coefficients $p(m,n)$ to these twisted traces, giving an arithmetic interpretation of the coefficients $p(m,n)$ for all m,n.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $m,n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and that m is squarefree. For each $v \geq 1$ coprime to 6 we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_v(m,n) = \sum_{d|v} d\left(\frac{m}{v/d}\right)\left(\frac{12}{d}\right) p(d^2m,n). \tag{1.15}$$

In the proof of Theorem 2 we will encounter the Kloosterman sum

$$K(a,b;c) := \sum_{\substack{d \bmod c \\ (d,c)=1}} e^{\pi i s(d,c)} e\left(\frac{\overline{d}a+db}{c}\right), \tag{1.16}$$

where $\overline{d}$ denotes the inverse of d modulo c and $e(x) := \exp(2\pi i x)$. Here $s(d,c)$ is the Dedekind sum

$$s(d,c) := \sum_{r=1}^{c-1}\left(\frac{r}{c} - \left\lfloor\frac{r}{c}\right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{dr}{c} - \left\lfloor\frac{dr}{c}\right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{1.17}$$

which appears in the transformation formula for $\eta(z)$ (see Section 2.8 of [19]). The presence of the factor $e^{\pi i s(d,c)}$ makes the Kloosterman sum quite difficult to evaluate. The following formula, proved by Whiteman in [30], is attributed to Selberg and gives an evaluation in the special case $a = 0$:

$$K(0,b;c) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{3}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \bmod 2c \\ (3\ell^2+\ell)/2 \equiv b(c)}} (-1)^\ell \cos\left(\frac{6\ell+1}{6c}\pi\right). \tag{1.18}$$

Theorem 3 below is a generalization of (1.18) which is of independent interest. For each v with $(v,6)=1$ and for each $m,n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ with m squarefree, define

$$S_v(m,n;24c) := \sum_{\substack{b \bmod 24c \\ b^2 \equiv mn(24c)}} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \chi_m\left(\left[6c, b, \tfrac{b^2-mn}{24c}\right]\right) e\left(\frac{bv}{12c}\right). \tag{1.19}$$

It is not difficult to show (see (4.3) below) that the right-hand side of (1.18) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{4} S_1(1, 24b+1; 24c).$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $n = 24n'+1$ and that $M = v^2 m = 24M'+1$, where m is squarefree and $(v,6)=1$. Then*

$$K(M',n';c) = 4\sqrt{\frac{c}{3}}\left(\frac{12}{v}\right) \sum_{u|(v,c)} \mu(u)\left(\frac{m}{u}\right) S_{v/u}(m,n;24c/u). \tag{1.20}$$

When $(mn,c)=1$ we clearly have $S_v(m,n;24c) \ll_\epsilon c^\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, which yields the bound (for any fixed integers m, n)

$$K(m,n;c) \ll_\epsilon c^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}, \qquad (mn,c)=1. \tag{1.21}$$

This is reminiscent of Weil's bound (see [29] and [18, Lemma 2]) for the ordinary Kloosterman sum

$$k(m,n;c) := \sum_{\substack{d \bmod c \\ (d,c)=1}} e\left(\frac{\overline{d}m + dn}{c}\right) \ll_\epsilon (m,n,c)^{\frac{1}{2}} c^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Our proof of Theorem 2 follows the method of Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth [14] in the case when mn is not a square, and the author [2] in the case when mn is a square. We construct the functions $P_v(\tau, s)$ and $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)$ as Poincaré series and then evaluate the traces of these Poincaré series directly. We match these evaluations to the formulas given in [1] for the coefficients $p(m,n)$.

In Section 2 we review some facts about weak Maass forms and construct the functions $P_v(\tau, s)$. In Section 3 we discuss binary quadratic forms and establish some facts which we will need for the proof of the main theorem. We construct the functions $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)$ at the end of Section 3. In Section 4 we prove a proposition which is equivalent to Theorem 3 and is a crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 2 comprises the final section of the paper.

2. Poincaré series and the construction of $P_v(\tau, s)$

In this section we construct the weak Maass forms $P_v(\tau, s)$ in terms of Poincaré series. We first recall the definition and basic properties of weak Maass forms, and show how such forms can be constructed using Poincaré series associated with Whittaker functions. We then discuss the Atkin-Lehner involutions W_d which are used to build the functions $P_v(\tau, s)$.

2.1. **Weak Maass forms and Poincaré series.** For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ and $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, we define the weight k slash operator $\big|_k$ by

$$\left(f\big|_k\gamma\right)(\tau) := (\det\gamma)^{k/2}(c\tau + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right).$$

Let $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma_1$ be a congruence subgroup. A weak Maass form of weight k and Laplace eigenvalue λ is a smooth function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

(1) $f\big|_k\gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$,
(2) $\Delta_k f = \lambda f$, where Δ_k is defined in (1.1), and
(3) f has at most linear exponential growth at each cusp of Γ'.

If $\lambda = 0$, we say that f is a harmonic Maass form. The differential operator

$$\xi_k := 2iy^k \frac{\overline{\partial}}{\partial\overline{\tau}}$$

plays an important role in the theory of harmonic Maass forms. It commutes with the slash operator; that is,

$$\xi_k\left(f\big|_k\gamma\right) = (\xi_k f)\big|_{2-k}\gamma.$$

Thus, if f has weight k then $\xi_k f$ has weight $2-k$. The Laplacian Δ_k decomposes as

$$\Delta_k = -\xi_{2-k} \circ \xi_k,$$

which shows that ξ_k maps harmonic Maass forms to weakly holomorphic modular forms.

Define

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_0(6)/\{\pm 1\}.$$

We follow Section 2.6 of [12] in constructing Poincaré series for Γ attached to special values of the M-Whittaker function $M_{\mu,\nu}(y)$ (see Chapter 13 of [27] for the definition and relevant properties). Let v be a positive integer, and for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{M}_{s,k}(y) := y^{-k/2} M_{-k/2,s-1/2}(y) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\varphi_v(\tau, s, k) := \mathcal{M}_{s,k}(4\pi v y) e(-vx).$$

Then

$$\varphi_v(\tau, s, k) \ll y^{\mathrm{Re}(s)-k/2} \text{ as } y \to 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Letting $\Gamma_\infty := \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\} \subseteq \Gamma$ denote the stabilizer of ∞, we define the Poincaré series

$$\mathbb{F}_v(\tau, s, k) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} (\varphi_v \big|_k \gamma)(\tau, s, k). \tag{2.3}$$

On compact subsets of $\mathbb{H}$, we have (by (2.2)) the bound

$$|\mathbb{F}_v(\tau, s, k)| \ll y^{\mathrm{Re}(s)-k/2} \sum_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} |c\tau + d|^{-2\,\mathrm{Re}(s)},$$

so $\mathbb{F}_v(\tau, s, k)$ converges normally for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. A computation involving (13.1.31) of [27] shows that

$$\Delta_k \, \varphi_v(\tau, s, k) = (s - k/2)(1 - k/2 - s)\varphi_v(\tau, s, k).$$

Since $\mathbb{F}_v$ clearly satisfies $\mathbb{F}_v \big|_k \gamma = \mathbb{F}_v$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we see that for fixed s with $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the function $\mathbb{F}_v(\tau, s, k)$ is a weak Maass form of weight k and Laplace eigenvalue $(s - k/2)(1 - k/2 - s)$.

We are primarily interested in the case when k is negative. In this case the special value $\mathbb{F}_v(\tau, 1-k/2, k)$ is a harmonic Maass form. Its principal part at ∞ is given by $q^{-v} + c_0$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, while its principal parts at the other cusps are constant. Thus, $\xi_k \mathbb{F}_v(\tau, 1 - k/2, k)$ is a cusp form of weight $2 - k$ on Γ.

2.2. **Atkin-Lehner involutions.** We recall some basic facts on Atkin-Lehner involutions (see, for example, Section IX.7 of [21] or Section 2.4 of [23]). Suppose that N is a positive squarefree integer and that $d \mid N$. Let $W_d = W_d^N$ denote any matrix with determinant d of the form

$$W_d = \begin{pmatrix} d\alpha & \beta \\ N\gamma & d\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The relation

$$W_d \; \Gamma_0(N) \; W_d^{-1} = \Gamma_0(N) \tag{2.4}$$

shows that the map $f \mapsto f \big|_k W_d$ (called the Atkin-Lehner involution W_d) is independent of the choices of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and defines an involution on the space of weight k forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$. If d and d' are divisors of N, then

$$f \big|_k W_d \big|_k W_{d'} = f \big|_k W_{d * d'},$$

where $d * d' = dd'/(d, d')^2$. When $d = N$ it is convenient to take $W_N = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Finally, the Atkin-Lehner involutions act transitively on the cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$; that is, for each cusp $\mathfrak{a} \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, there exists a unique $d \mid N$ such that $W_d \, \infty = \mathfrak{a}$.

Recall that $F_v(\tau) = q^{-v} + O(1)$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight -2 on $\Gamma_0(6)$ with eigenvalues 1 and -1 under W_6 and W_2, respectively. We claim that

$$F_v(\tau) = \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \left(\mathbb{F}_v \big|_{-2} W_d \right) (\tau, 2, -2). \tag{2.5}$$

To prove this, let $\tilde{F}_v(\tau)$ denote the right-hand side of (2.5). Since $\xi_{-2}\mathbb{F}_v(\tau, 2, -2)$ lies in the one-dimensional space of cusp forms of weight 4 on $\Gamma_0(6)$, it must be proportional to

$$g(\tau) := (\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(3\tau)\eta(6\tau))^2 .$$

Since ξ_k commutes with the slash operator and $g(\tau)$ is invariant under W_d for each $d \mid 6$, we have, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the relation

$$\xi_{-2}\tilde{F}_v(\tau) = \alpha \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) g(\tau) = 0.$$

Thus $F_v(\tau) - \tilde{F}_v(\tau)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$ and vanishes at every cusp. Hence $F_v(\tau) = \tilde{F}_v(\tau)$.

2.3. **The functions $P_v(\tau)$, $P_v(\tau, s)$.** To construct the functions $P_v(\tau)$ and $P_v(\tau, s)$, we require the Maass raising operator

$$R_k := 2i\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{k}{y} \tag{2.6}$$

which raises the weight of a weak Maass form by 2. For each $v \geq 1$, we define

$$P_v(\tau) := \frac{1}{4\pi v} R_{-2} F_v(\tau).$$

Then $P_v(\tau)$ is a weak Maass form of weight 0 and Laplace eigenvalue -2. By (2.5) and Proposition 2.2 of [12] this is equivalent to defining

$$\begin{aligned} P_v(\tau) :=& \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \mathbb{F}_v(W_d\, \tau, 2, 0) \\ =& \frac{1}{6} \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_v \left(\gamma W_d\, \tau, 2, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define $P_v(\tau, s)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} P_v(\tau, s) :=& C(s) \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \mathbb{F}_v(W_d\, \tau, s, 0) \\ =& \frac{C(s)}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_v \left(\gamma W_d\, \tau, s, 0 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$C(s) := \frac{2^s}{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2} \right)^2. \tag{2.8}$$

We have chosen the non-standard normalizing factor $C(s)$ so that later results are cleaner to state. Note that $C(2) = 1$, so

$$P_v(\tau, 2) = P_v(\tau). \tag{2.9}$$

In the next section we will define the dampened functions $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)$.

3. Binary quadratic forms and the functions $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)$

In this section we recall some basic facts about binary quadratic forms and the genus characters χ_m. A good reference for this material is Section I of [16]. Throughout this section, we assume that $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and that m is squarefree. The latter condition ensures that m is a fundamental discriminant.

Suppose that $r \in \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$. We recall that

$$\mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)} := \left\{ ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 : b^2 - 4ac = n,\ 6 \mid a,\ b \equiv r \pmod{12},\ \text{and } a > 0 \text{ if } n < 0 \right\}.$$

Let Γ^* denote the group generated by $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(6)/\{\pm 1\}$ and the Atkin-Lehner involutions W_d for $d \mid 6$. Matrices $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma^*$ act on such forms on the left by

$$\gamma Q(x, y) := \frac{1}{\det \gamma} Q(Dx - By, -Cx + Ay).$$

It is easy to check that this action is compatible with the action $\gamma\tau := \frac{A\tau + B}{C\tau + D}$ on the roots of Q: for all $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, we have

$$\gamma\, \tau_Q = \tau_{\gamma Q}. \tag{3.1}$$

The set $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)}$ forms a finite group under Gaussian composition which is isomorphic to the narrow class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})/\mathbb{Q}$ when n is a fundamental discriminant. Let $\mathcal{Q}_n$ denote the union

$$\mathcal{Q}_n := \bigcup_{r \in \{1,5,7,11\}} \mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)}.$$

For $d \mid 6$, the Atkin-Lehner involution $W_d = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} d\alpha & \beta \\ 6\gamma & d\delta \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ acts on quadratic forms by

$$W_d\, Q(x, y) := \frac{1}{d}\, Q(d\delta x - \beta y, -6\gamma x + d\alpha y). \tag{3.2}$$

A computation involving (3.2) and the relation $d\alpha\delta - \frac{6}{d}\beta\gamma = 1$ shows that

$$W_d\, [6a, b, c] = \left[6*, b\left(1 + \tfrac{12}{d}\beta\gamma\right) + 12*, * \right]. \tag{3.3}$$

It is convenient to choose $W_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $W_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 6 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then (3.3) shows that

$$W_d : \mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n^{(r')} \tag{3.4}$$

is a bijection, where

$$r' \equiv r \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 7 & \text{if } d = 2, \\ 5 & \text{if } d = 3, \\ 11 & \text{if } d = 6, \end{cases} \pmod{12}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \bigcup_{d \mid 6} W_d\, \mathcal{Q}_n^{(r)} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $r \in \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$.

We turn now to the extended genus character χ_m. For $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{mn}$, define

$$\chi_m(Q) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m}{r}\right) & \text{if } (a, b, c, m) = 1 \text{ and } Q \text{ represents } r \text{ with } (r, m) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } (a, b, c, m) > 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

The following lemma lists some properties of χ_m.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and that m is squarefree.*

P1) The map $\chi_m : \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn} \to \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is well-defined; i.e. $\chi_m(\gamma Q) = \chi_m(Q)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

P2) If $(a, a') = 1$ then

$$\chi_m([6aa', b, c]) = \chi_m([6a, b, a'c])\chi_m([6a', b, ac]).$$

P3) For each $d \mid 6$ we have

$$\chi_m(Q) = \chi_m(W_d\, Q).$$

P4) Suppose that $[6a, b, c] \in \mathcal{Q}_{mn}$, and let $g := \pm(a, m)$, where the sign is chosen so that $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$\chi_m([6a, b, c]) = \left(\frac{m/g}{6a}\right)\left(\frac{g}{c}\right).$$

P5) We have $\chi_m(-Q) = \operatorname{sgn}(m)\chi_m(Q)$.

Proof. Property P3 for $d = 1, 6$ and P1, P2, and P4 are special cases of Proposition 1 of [16]. Property P5 follows easily from P4. A generalization of P3 is stated without proof in [16], so we provide a proof here for our special case.

We want to show that P3 holds for $d = 2, 3$. Suppose that

$$Q = [6a, b, c] \text{ with } (a, b, c, m) = 1.$$

Choosing $W_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $W_3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 6 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, we find that

$$W_2\,[6a, b, c] = [6(2a + b + 3c), *, 3a + b + 2c],$$
$$W_3\,[6a, b, c] = [6(3a - b + 2c), *, 2a - b + 3c].$$

We will use P4. For W_2, we want to show that

$$\left(\frac{m/g}{6(2a + b + 3c)}\right)\left(\frac{g}{3a + b + 2c}\right) = \left(\frac{m/g}{6a}\right)\left(\frac{g}{c}\right). \tag{3.8}$$

Since g divides $mn + 4ac = b^2$ and g is squarefree, we see that $g \mid b$, so

$$\left(\frac{g}{3a + b + 2c}\right) = \left(\frac{g}{c}\right)\left(\frac{g}{2}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

If $a = 0$ then $g = m$, and (3.8) follows from (3.9) and the fact that $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. If $a \neq 0$, then the relation

$$8a(2a + b + 3c) = (4a + b)^2 - m$$

shows that

$$\left(\frac{m/g}{6(2a + b + 3c)}\right)\left(\frac{m/g}{6a}\right) = \left(\frac{m/g}{2}\right).$$

Together with (3.9), this completes the proof for W_2. The proof for W_3 is similar. □

The remainder of this section follows Sections 3 and 4 of [14] and Section 3 of [2]. Let Γ_Q denote the stabilizer of Q in $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(6)/\{\pm 1\}$. When the discriminant of Q is negative or a positive square, the group Γ_Q is trivial. However, when the discriminant $n > 0$ is not a square, the group Γ_Q is infinite cyclic. If $Q = [a, b, c] \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ with $(a, b, c) = 1$, we have $\Gamma_Q = \langle g_Q \rangle$, where

$$g_Q := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t+bu}{2} & cu \\ -au & \frac{t-bu}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and t, u are the smallest positive integral solutions to Pell's equation $t^2 - nu^2 = 4$. When $(a, b, c) = \delta > 1$, we have $\Gamma_Q = \langle g_{Q/\delta} \rangle$.

For $Q = [a, b, c] \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ with $n > 0$, let S_Q denote the geodesic in $\mathbb{H}$ connecting the two roots of $Q(\tau, 1)$. Explicitly, S_Q is the curve in $\mathbb{H}$ defined by

$$a|\tau|^2 + b\,\mathrm{Re}(\tau) + c = 0.$$

When $a \neq 0$, S_Q is a semicircle, which we orient counter-clockwise if $a > 0$ and clockwise if $a < 0$. When $a = 0$, S_Q is the vertical line $\mathrm{Re}(\tau) = -c/b$, which we orient upward. If $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then we have

$$\gamma S_Q = S_{\gamma Q} \tag{3.10}$$

Fix any $z \in S_Q$ and define the cycle C_Q as the directed arc on S_Q from z to $g_Q z$. We define

$$d\tau_Q := \frac{\sqrt{n}\, d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}, \tag{3.11}$$

so that if $\tau' = \gamma\tau$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$, we have

$$d\tau'_{\gamma Q} = d\tau_Q. \tag{3.12}$$

Suppose that Q has positive non-square discriminant and that f is a Γ-invariant function that is continuous on S_Q. A straightforward generalization of Lemma 6 of [14] shows that the integral

$$\int_{C_Q} f(\tau) d\tau_Q$$

is a well-defined (i.e. independent of the choice of $z \in S_Q$) invariant of the equivalence class of Q.

We now define the functions $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)$. Let $Q = [a, b, c]$ be a binary quadratic form with square discriminant. Then the equation $Q(x, y) = 0$ has two inequivalent solutions $[r_1 : s_1]$ and $[r_2 : s_2]$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, which we write as fractions $\mathfrak{a}_i := r_i/s_i$, with $(r_i, s_i) = 1$ and possibly $s_i = 0$. For each i, there is a unique d_i such that

$$W_{d_i}\, \mathfrak{a}_i \sim_\Gamma \infty.$$

Thus, up to translation, there is a unique $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\gamma_i W_{d_i}\, \mathfrak{a}_i = \infty.$$

The function $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)$ is defined by deleting the two terms of $P_v(\tau, s)$ in (2.7) corresponding to the pairs (γ_i, W_{d_i}); that is,

$$P_{v,Q}(\tau, s) := \frac{C(s)}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \\ \gamma W_d\, \mathfrak{a}_i \neq \infty}} \varphi_v(\gamma W_d\, \tau, s, 0). \tag{3.13}$$

Suppose that $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Then by (3.1), the roots of σQ are $\sigma\mathfrak{a}_1$ and $\sigma\mathfrak{a}_2$, and we find (using (2.4)) that

$$P_{v,\sigma Q}(\sigma\tau, s) = P_{v,Q}(\tau, s).$$

Together with (3.10) and (3.12), this shows that the integral

$$\int_{C_Q} P_{v,Q}(\tau, s) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}$$

is invariant under $Q \mapsto \sigma Q$.

4. Kloosterman sums and the proof of Theorem 3

In this section we prove an identity (Proposition 6 below) connecting the Kloosterman sum (1.16) with the twisted quadratic Weyl sum (1.19). This is an essential ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2, and is equivalent to the evaluation of the Kloosterman sum in Theorem 3.

Throughout this section, v is a positive integer coprime to 6 and $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ with m squarefree. We will use the notation

$$a' := \frac{a-1}{24}$$

whenever $a \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. The Kloosterman sum is defined as

$$K(a,b;c) := \sum_{d(c)^*} e^{\pi i s(d,c)} e\left(\frac{\overline{d}a + db}{c}\right),$$

where $d(c)^*$ indicates that the sum is taken over residue classes coprime to c, and $\overline{d}$ denotes the inverse of d modulo c. The factor $e^{\pi i s(d,c)}$ makes the Kloosterman sum very difficult to evaluate. The following lemma shows that $e^{\pi i s(d,c)}$ is related to the Gauss-type sums

$$H_{d,c}(\delta) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j(2c)} e\left(\frac{d(6j+\delta)^2}{24c}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

which were introduced by Fischer in [15].

Lemma 5. *Suppose that* $(v,6) = (c,d) = 1$ *and define*

$$\alpha := 1 - \overline{d}c - \overline{d}v,$$
$$\beta := 1 - \overline{d}c + \overline{d}v,$$

with $\overline{d}$ *chosen such that*

$$d\overline{d} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{c} & \text{if } c \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 \pmod{2c} & \text{if } c \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\sqrt{3c}\left(\frac{12}{v}\right) e\left(\frac{\overline{d}(v^2-1)}{24c}\right) e^{\pi i\, s(d,c)} = e\left(\frac{2v + d\alpha^2}{24c}\right) H_{-d,c}(\alpha) + e\left(\frac{-2v + d\beta^2}{24c}\right) H_{-d,c}(\beta). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Define

$$f(v) := \left(\frac{12}{v}\right) e\left(\frac{2v + d\alpha^2 - \overline{d}(v^2-1)}{24c}\right) H_{-d,c}(\alpha).$$

We will prove (4.2) by showing that $f(v) + f(-v) = \sqrt{3c}\, e^{\pi i\, s(d,c)}$.

We first show that for fixed d, c, the function $f(v)$ depends only on v mod 6. By (3.8) of [30] we find that $H_{-d,c}(\alpha)$ depends only on α mod 6. Define $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ by $v \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{6}$.

Then $\left(\frac{12}{v}\right) = e\left(\frac{v-\varepsilon}{12}\right)$, and we have

$$\left(\frac{12}{v}\right) e\left(\frac{2v + d\alpha^2 - \overline{d}(v^2-1)}{24c}\right) = e\left(v^2\frac{\overline{d}(d\overline{d}-1)/c}{24} - v\frac{(d\overline{d}-1)/c - d\overline{d}^2 - 1}{12} - \frac{\varepsilon}{12} + \frac{d(\overline{d}c-1)^2 + \overline{d}}{24c}\right).$$

This depends only on v mod 6 since $v^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and, by definition,

$$\frac{d\overline{d}-1}{c} - d\overline{d}^2 - 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now $f(v) + f(-v) = f(\varepsilon) + f(-\varepsilon)$ is independent of v, so to prove (4.2) it suffices to show that $f(1) + f(-1) = \sqrt{3c}\, e^{\pi i\, s(d,c)}$. This is proved in Section 4 of [30]. □

The quadratic Weyl sum $S_v(m, n; 24c)$ is defined as

$$S_v(m,n;24c) := \sum_{\substack{b \,(24c) \\ b^2 \equiv mn\,(24c)}} \chi_{12}(b)\chi_m\left([6c, b, \tfrac{b^2-mn}{24c}]\right) e\left(\frac{bv}{12c}\right),$$

where χ_m is defined in (3.7). We clearly have $S_v(m,n;24c) = \overline{S_v(m,n;24c)}$, so the exponential $e\left(\frac{bv}{12c}\right)$ may be replaced by $\cos\left(\frac{bv\pi}{6c}\right)$. When $m = 1$, we obtain a simpler expression for $S_1(1, n; 24c)$ as follows. The summands of $\mathrm{Re}(S_v(1,n;24c))$ are invariant under both $b \mapsto b + 12c$ and $b \mapsto -b$, so we may sum over those b modulo $12c$ for which $b \equiv 1 \pmod 6$, and multiply the sum by 4. Writing $b = 6\ell + 1$, we obtain (cf. formula (1.18))

$$S_1(1,n;24c) = 4 \sum_{\substack{\ell \bmod 2c \\ (3\ell^2+\ell)/2 \equiv n'(c)}} (-1)^\ell \cos\left(\frac{(6\ell+1)v\pi}{6c}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

The following proposition gives an expression for $S_v(m,n;24c)$ in terms of Kloosterman sums. Its proof occupies the remainder of the section. Theorem 3 follows from (4.4) by Möbius inversion in two variables.

Proposition 6. *Suppose that $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and that m is squarefree. Suppose that $c, v > 0$ and that $(v, 6) = 1$. Then*

$$S_v(m,n;24c) = 4\sqrt{3} \sum_{u \mid (v,c)} \left(\frac{12}{v/u}\right)\left(\frac{m}{u}\right)\sqrt{\frac{u}{c}}\, K\left(\left(\tfrac{v^2}{u^2}\, m\right)', n'; \frac{c}{u}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

Remark. Proposition 6 resembles Proposition 3 of [14], which is proved using a slight modification of Kohnen's argument in [22, Proposition 5]. Using an elegant idea of Tóth [28], Duke [13] greatly simplified Kohnen's proof for the case $m = D = 1$ (in the notation of [14]). Jenkins [20] later extended this argument to the case of general m. However, Kohnen's argument remains the only proof of the general case.

Although special cases of (4.4) are amenable to the methods of Duke and Jenkins, we prove Proposition 6 in full generality by adapting Kohnen's argument. The proof is quite technical.

In the proof of Proposition 6 we will encounter the quadratic Gauss sum

$$G(a,b,c) := \sum_{x(c)} e\left(\frac{ax^2+bx}{c}\right), \qquad c>0. \tag{4.5}$$

For any $d \mid (a,c)$, we see by replacing x by $x+c/d$ that

$$G(a,b,c) = e\left(\tfrac{b}{d}\right) G(a,b,c). \tag{4.6}$$

This implies that $G(a,b,c)=0$ unless $d \mid b$. In that case,

$$G(a,b,c) = d \cdot G\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}, \frac{c}{d}\right). \tag{4.7}$$

If $(a,c)=1$, we have the well-known evaluations (see Theorems 1.5.1, 1.5.2, and 1.5.4 of [3])

$$G(a,0,c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2 \mid\mid c, \\ (1+i)\varepsilon_a^{-1}\sqrt{c}\left(\frac{c}{a}\right) & \text{if } 4 \mid c, \\ \varepsilon_c\sqrt{c}\left(\frac{a}{c}\right) & \text{if } c \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_a := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ i & \text{if } a \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

If $4 \mid c$ and $(a,c)=1$ then, by replacing x by $x+c/2$, we find that $G(a,b,c)=0$ if b is odd. If b is even and $4 \mid c$, or if c is odd, then by completing the square and using (4.8), we find that

$$G(a,b,c) = \begin{cases} e\left(\dfrac{-\overline{a}\,b^2}{4c}\right)(1+i)\varepsilon_a^{-1}\sqrt{c}\left(\dfrac{c}{a}\right) & \text{if } b \text{ is even and } 4 \mid c, \\ e\left(\dfrac{-\overline{4a}\,b^2}{c}\right)\varepsilon_c\sqrt{c}\left(\dfrac{a}{c}\right) & \text{if } c \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, these Gauss sums satisfy the multiplicative property

$$G(a,b,qr) = G(ar,b,q)\,G(aq,b,r), \qquad (q,r)=1 \tag{4.10}$$

which is a straightforward generalization of [3, Lemma 1.2.5].

We will need an explicit formula for $\chi_m([6c,b,\frac{b^2-mn}{24c}])$, which follows from P4 of Lemma 4 (see also Proposition 6 of [22]). For each odd prime p, let

$$p^* := (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p$$

so that $(\frac{a}{p}) = (\frac{p^*}{a})$. If m is squarefree, then

$$\chi_m([6c,b,\tfrac{b^2-mn}{24c}]) = \prod_{\substack{p^\lambda \mid\mid c \\ p \nmid m}} \left(\frac{m}{p^\lambda}\right) \prod_{\substack{p^\lambda \mid\mid c \\ p \mid m}} \left(\frac{m/p^*}{p^\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{p^*}{(b^2-mn)/p^\lambda}\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof of Proposition 6. Both sides of (4.4) are periodic in v with period $12c$, so it suffices to show that their Fourier transforms are equal. For each $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will show that

$$\frac{1}{12c}\sum_{v(12c)} e\left(\frac{-hv}{12c}\right) S_v(m,n;24c)$$
$$= \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{12c}\sum_{v(12c)} e\left(\frac{-hv}{12c}\right)\sum_{u|(c,v)}\left(\frac{12}{v/u}\right)\left(\frac{m}{u}\right)\sqrt{\frac{u}{c}}\,K\left(\left(\tfrac{v^2}{u^2}\,m\right)',n';\frac{c}{u}\right). \quad (4.12)$$

Let $L(h)$ and $R(h)$ denote the left- and right-hand sides of (4.12), respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(h) &= \sum_{b^2\equiv mn(24c)} \chi_{12}(b)\chi_m\left([6c,b,\tfrac{b^2-mn}{24c}]\right)\times\frac{1}{12c}\sum_{v(12c)} e\left(\frac{(b-h)v}{12c}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2\,\chi_{12}(h)\,\chi_m\left([6c,h,\tfrac{h^2-mn}{24c}]\right) & \text{if } h^2\equiv mn \pmod{24c}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

For the right-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(h) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\,c}\sum_{u|c}\left(\frac{m}{u}\right)\sqrt{\frac{u}{c}}\sum_{v(12c/u)}\left(\frac{12}{v}\right)e\left(\frac{-hv}{12c/u}\right)\sum_{d(c/u)^*} e^{\pi i\,s(d,c/u)}e\left(\frac{\overline{d}(v^2m)'+dn'}{c/u}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\,c}\sum_{u|c}\left(\frac{m}{c/u}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\sum_{d(u)^*} e\left(\frac{dn'}{u}\right)\sum_{v(12u)} e^{\pi i\,s(d,u)}e\left(\frac{-\overline{d}}{24u}\right)\left(\frac{12}{v}\right)e\left(\frac{\overline{d}mv^2-2hv}{24u}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5, (4.1), and the fact that $u+v$ is even, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\pi i\,s(d,u)}e\left(\frac{-\overline{d}}{24u}\right)\left(\frac{12}{v}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3u}}\,e\left(\frac{-\overline{d}v^2}{24u}\right)\sum_{j(2u)} e\left(\frac{-d(3j^2+j)/2}{u}+\frac{j}{2}\right)\left(e\left(\frac{v(6j+1)}{12u}\right)+e\left(\frac{-v(6j+1)}{12u}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(h) = \frac{1}{6c}\sum_{u|c}\left(\frac{m}{c/u}\right)u^{-1}\sum_{d(u)^*} e\left(\frac{dn'}{u}\right)\sum_{j(2u)} e\left(-\frac{d(3j^2+j)/2}{u}+\frac{j}{2}\right) \\ \times\left(G(\overline{d}(m-1)/2,6j+1-h,12u)+G(\overline{d}(m-1)/2,-6j-1-h,12u)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $G(a,b,c)$ is the quadratic Gauss sum defined in (4.5). Since $12 \mid (m-1)/2$, we see by (4.7) that

$$G(d(m-1)/2,\pm(6j+1)-h,12u) = \begin{cases} 12\,G\left(\overline{d}m',\frac{\pm(6j+1)-h}{12},u\right) & \text{if } h\equiv\pm(6j+1) \pmod{12}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

In particular, $R(h)=0$ unless $h\equiv\pm1 \pmod 6$.

For the remainder of the proof, we assume that $h \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ (the other case is analogous). Then in (4.14) the second Gauss sum is zero, and we take only those j for which $j \equiv \frac{h-1}{6} \pmod 2$. We write $j = 2k + \frac{h-1}{6}$; then

$$\sum_{j(2u)} e\left(-\frac{d(3j^2+j)/2}{u} + \frac{j}{2}\right) G(\overline{d}(m-1)/2, 6j+1-h, 12u)$$
$$= 12e\left(\frac{h-1}{12} - \frac{d(h^2-1)/24}{u}\right) \sum_{k(u)} e\left(-\frac{dk(6k+h)}{u}\right) G(\overline{d}m', k, u).$$

Since $e(\frac{h-1}{12}) = \chi_{12}(h)$ we have

$$R(h) = \frac{2}{c}\,\chi_{12}(h) \sum_{u|c} \left(\frac{m}{c/u}\right) u^{-1} F_h(u), \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$F_h(u) := \sum_{d(u)^*} e\left(\frac{d}{u}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24}\right)\right) \sum_{k(u)} e\left(\frac{-dk(6k+h)}{u}\right) G(\overline{d}m', k, u).$$

We show that $F_h(u)$ is multiplicative as a function of u. To prove this, suppose that $(q, r) = 1$, and choose $\overline{r}$ and $\overline{q}$ such that $r\overline{r} + q\overline{q} = 1$. Let $\alpha := n' - (h^2-1)/24$, and write $d = r\overline{r}x + q\overline{q}y$ and $k = k_1 r + k_2 q$. Using (4.5) and (4.10) we find that that

$$F_h(qr) = \sum_{x(q)^*} e\left(\frac{\overline{r}x\alpha}{q}\right) \sum_{k_1(q)} e\left(\frac{-k_1 x(6k_1 r + h)}{q}\right) G(r\overline{x}m', k_1 r, q)$$
$$\times \sum_{y(r)^*} e\left(\frac{\overline{q}y\alpha}{r}\right) \sum_{k_2(r)} e\left(\frac{-k_2 y(6k_2 q + h)}{r}\right) G(q\overline{y}m', k_2 q, r).$$

Replacing k_1, k_2, x, and y by $k_1\overline{r}$, $k_2\overline{q}$, xr, and yq, respectively we conclude that

$$F_h(qr) = F_h(q)F_h(r).$$

Clearly $\frac{1}{c}\sum_{u|c}\left(\frac{m}{c/u}\right) u^{-1}F_h(u)$ is multiplicative as a function of c. Thus, by (4.11), (4.13), and (4.15), to show that $L(h) = R(h)$ it suffices to show that for each prime power $p^\lambda \,||\, c$ we have

$$p^{-\lambda}\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{m}{p^{\lambda-j}}\right) p^{-j}F_h(p^j) = \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{m}{p^\lambda}\right) & \text{if } p \nmid m, \\ \left(\dfrac{m/p^*}{p^\lambda}\right)\left(\dfrac{p^*}{(h^2-mn)/p^\lambda}\right) & \text{if } p \mid m, \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

when $h^2 \equiv mn \pmod{24p^\lambda}$, and 0 otherwise.

Suppose first that p is an odd prime. Set

$$p^\mu := (m', p^j).$$

Then $G(\overline{d}m', k, p^j) = 0$ unless $p^\mu \mid k$. In the latter case, using (4.7) and (4.9), we find that

$$G(\overline{d}m', k, p^j) = \varepsilon_{p^{j-\mu}} p^{\frac{j+\mu}{2}} \left(\frac{\overline{d}m'/p^\mu}{p^{j-\mu}}\right) e\left(\frac{-d\overline{(4m'/p^\mu)}(k/p^\mu)^2}{p^{j-\mu}}\right).$$

Writing $k = p^\mu \ell$, we find that

$$F_h(p^j) = \varepsilon_{p^{j-\mu}} \left(\frac{m'/p^\mu}{p^{j-\mu}}\right) p^{\frac{j+\mu}{2}} \sum_{d(p^j)^*} \left(\frac{d}{p^{j-\mu}}\right) e\left(\frac{d}{p^j}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24}\right)\right) \times \sum_{\ell(p^{j-\mu})} e\left(\frac{-d(6p^\mu + \overline{(4m'/p^\mu)})\ell^2 - dh\ell}{p^{j-\mu}}\right). \quad (4.17)$$

Since

$$\overline{(4m'/p^\mu)}m = \overline{(4m'/p^\mu)}(24p^\mu m'/p^\mu + 1) \equiv 6p^\mu + \overline{(4m'/p^\mu)} \pmod{p^{j-\mu}},$$

the inner sum is equal to

$$G(-dm\overline{(4m'/p^\mu)}, -dh, p^{j-\mu}).$$

We first consider the case where $p \nmid m$, and we choose $\overline{m}$ such that $\overline{m}m \equiv 1 \pmod{24p^\lambda}$. Using (4.9) again we find that

$$F_h(p^j) = p^j \left(\frac{-m}{p^{j-\mu}}\right) \varepsilon^2_{p^{j-\mu}} \sum_{d(p^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d}{p^j}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24} + \overline{m}m'h^2\right)\right).$$

Note that

$$n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24} + \overline{m}m'h^2 \equiv \frac{n - \overline{m}h^2}{24} \pmod{p^\lambda}.$$

Since $\varepsilon^2_{p^{j-\mu}} = \left(\frac{-1}{p^{j-\mu}}\right)$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^\mu}$ we conclude that the quantity in (4.16) is

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{m}{p^{\lambda-j}}\right) p^{-j} F_h(p^j) &= p^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{m}{p^\lambda}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} \sum_{d(p^j)^*} e\left(\frac{dp^{\lambda-j}}{p^\lambda}\left(\frac{n - \overline{m}h^2}{24}\right)\right) \\ &= p^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{m}{p^\lambda}\right) \sum_{d(p^\lambda)} e\left(\frac{d}{p^\lambda}\left(\frac{n - \overline{m}h^2}{24}\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m}{p^\lambda}\right) & \text{if } p^\lambda \mid \frac{n - \overline{m}h^2}{24}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The condition $p^\lambda \mid (n - \overline{m}h^2)/24$ is equivalent to $h^2 \equiv mn \pmod{24p^\lambda}$, so (4.16) is true in the case where p is an odd prime not dividing m.

We turn now to the case where $p \mid m$. Then $p \nmid m'$, so $\mu = 0$ in (4.17), and since m is squarefree, $(m/p, p) = 1$. Furthermore, all of the terms in the sum on the left-hand side of (4.16) vanish except for the term $j = \lambda$. From (4.17) we have

$$F_h(p^\lambda) = \varepsilon_{p^\lambda} \left(\frac{m'}{p^\lambda}\right) p^{\lambda/2} \sum_{d(p^\lambda)^*} \left(\frac{d}{p^\lambda}\right) e\left(\frac{d}{p^\lambda}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24}\right)\right) G(-dm\overline{(4m')}, -dh, p^\lambda),$$

which is zero unless $p \mid h$. Assume that $p \mid h$; then, using (4.9) we obtain

$$F_h(p^\lambda) = p^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_p \left(\frac{m'}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-m/p}{p^{\lambda-1}}\right) \sum_{d(p^\lambda)^*} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{d}{p^\lambda}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24} + \frac{\overline{(m/p)}m'h^2}{p}\right)\right).$$

Set

$$\alpha := n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24} + \frac{\overline{(m/p)}m'h^2}{p}.$$

Replacing d by $d+p$, we see that the sum

$$\sum_{d(p^\lambda)^*} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{d\alpha}{p^\lambda}\right)$$

is zero unless $p^{\lambda-1} \mid \alpha$. Assume that $p^{\lambda-1} \mid \alpha$. Then

$$\sum_{d(p^\lambda)^*} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{d\alpha}{p^\lambda}\right) = p^{\lambda-1} \sum_{d(p)} \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{d\alpha/p^{\lambda-1}}{p}\right) = \varepsilon_p\, p^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha/p^{\lambda-1}}{p}\right),$$

where the last equality uses Theorem 1.1.5 of [3] and (4.8). We have $p \neq 3$ since $m \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$, so $\left(\frac{m'}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-24}{p}\right)$. Therefore

$$F_h(p^\lambda) = p^{2\lambda}\varepsilon_p^2 \left(\frac{-m/p}{p^{\lambda-1}}\right)\left(\frac{-24\alpha/p^{\lambda-1}}{p}\right).$$

We have

$$\frac{24\alpha}{p^{\lambda-1}} = \frac{p(n-h^2) + \overline{(m/p)}24m'h^2}{p^\lambda}$$

which, together with the fact that $\overline{(m/p)}m \equiv p \pmod{p^{\lambda+1}}$, yields

$$\frac{24\alpha}{p^{\lambda-1}} \equiv \frac{\overline{(m/p)}\,[mn - mh^2 + 24m'h^2]}{p^\lambda} \equiv \frac{\overline{(m/p)}\,[mn-h^2]}{p^\lambda} \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore

$$F_h(p^\lambda) = p^{2\lambda}\left(\frac{-m/p}{p^\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{(h^2-mn)/p^\lambda}{p}\right) = p^{2\lambda}\left(\frac{m/p^*}{p^\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{p^*}{(h^2-mn)/p^\lambda}\right),$$

under the assumption that $p^{\lambda-1} \mid \alpha$. This assumption is equivalent to $h^2 \equiv mn \pmod{24p^\lambda}$ and implies that $p \mid h$, which justifies our previous assumption. Thus we conclude that

$$F_h(p^\lambda) = \begin{cases} p^{2\lambda}\left(\frac{m/p^*}{p^\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{p^*}{(h^2-mn)/p^\lambda}\right) & \text{if } h^2 \equiv mn \pmod{24p^\lambda}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

which verifies (4.16) in the case where p is an odd prime dividing m.

Now suppose that $p = 2$. Since $2 \nmid m$ and $\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) = 1$, we want to show that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} 2^{-j} F_h(2^j) = \begin{cases} 2^\lambda & \text{if } h^2 \equiv mn \pmod{24 \cdot 2^\lambda}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

We recall the definition

$$F_h(u) := \sum_{d(u)^*} e\left(\frac{d}{u}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24}\right)\right) \sum_{k(u)} e\left(-\frac{dk(6k+h)}{u}\right) G(\overline{d}m', k, u).$$

Define μ by

$$2^\mu = (m', 2^j).$$

Then

$$G(\overline{d}m', k, 2^j) = \begin{cases} 2^\mu G(\overline{d}m'/2^\mu, k/2^\mu, 2^{j-\mu}) & \text{if } 2^\mu \mid k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\beta := n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24} + h^2\,\overline{m}\,m', \text{ with } \overline{m}\,m \equiv 1 \pmod{24\cdot 2^\lambda}.$$

We claim that

$$F_h(2^j) = 2^j \sum_{d(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d\beta}{2^j}\right). \tag{4.20}$$

If $\mu = j$ then $G(\overline{d}m'/2^\mu, k/2^\mu, 2^{j-\mu}) = 1$ and $2^j \mid m'$, so

$$F_h(2^j) = 2^j \sum_{d(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d\beta}{2^j}\right).$$

If $\mu = j-1$ then

$$G(\overline{d}m'/2^\mu, k/2^\mu, 2^{j-\mu}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } k/2^\mu \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k/2^\mu \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Since $2^{j-1} \mid m'$ and $\overline{m}$ is odd, we have $\beta \equiv n' - (h^2-1)/24 - 2^{j-1}h \pmod{2^j}$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_h(2^j) &= 2^j \sum_{d(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d}{2^j}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24}\right) - \frac{d\cdot 2^{j-1}(6\cdot 2^{j-1}+h)}{2^j}\right) \\ &= 2^j \sum_{d(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d\beta}{2^j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu \le j-2$ then by (4.9) we have

$$G(\overline{d}m'/2^\mu, k/2^\mu, 2^{j-\mu}) = \begin{cases} (1+i)\,\varepsilon^{-1}_{\overline{d}m'/2^\mu}\, 2^{\frac{j-\mu}{2}} \left(\dfrac{2^{j-\mu}}{\overline{d}m'/2^\mu}\right) e\left(-\dfrac{d(\overline{m'/2^\mu})(k/2^\mu)^2}{2^{j-\mu+2}}\right) & \text{if } k/2^\mu \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k/2^\mu \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Writing $k = 2^{\mu+1}\ell$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_h(2^j) = (1+i)\,2^{\frac{j+\mu}{2}} \sum_{d(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d}{2^j}\left(n' - \frac{h^2-1}{24}\right)\right) \varepsilon^{-1}_{\overline{d}m'/2^\mu} \left(\frac{2^{j-\mu}}{\overline{d}m'/2^\mu}\right) \\ \times \sum_{\ell(2^{j-\mu-1})} e\left(-\frac{d\cdot 2^{\mu+1}\ell(6\cdot 2^{\mu+1}\ell + h)}{2^j} - \frac{d(\overline{m'/2^\mu})\ell^2}{2^{j-\mu}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\overline{m'/2^\mu}) + 24\cdot 2^\mu \equiv (\overline{m'/2^\mu})m \pmod{2^{j-\mu}}$, the inner sum equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} G(-dm(\overline{m'/2^\mu}), -2dh, 2^{j-\mu}) \\ &\qquad = (1+i)\,2^{\frac{j-\mu}{2}-1}\,\varepsilon^{-1}_{-dm(\overline{m'/2^\mu})} \left(\frac{2^{j-\mu}}{-dm(\overline{m'/2^\mu})}\right) e\left(\frac{dh^2\,\overline{m}\,m'}{2^j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\varepsilon_{\overline{dm'/2^\mu}}^{-1}\varepsilon_{-dm\overline{(m'/2^\mu)}}^{-1} = -i,$$

we have

$$F_h(2^j) = 2^j \sum_{d(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d\beta}{2^j}\right).$$

We conclude in every case that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} 2^{-j} F_h(2^j) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda} \sum_{d\,(2^j)^*} e\left(\frac{d\beta}{2^j}\right) = \sum_{d(2^\lambda)} e\left(\frac{d\beta}{2^\lambda}\right) = \begin{cases} 2^\lambda & \text{if } h^2 \equiv mn \pmod{24\cdot 2^\lambda}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof. □

5. Proof of Theorem 2

We begin by recording exact formulas for the coefficients $p(m,n)$ in terms of Kloosterman sums and the I- and J-Bessel functions $I_\alpha(x)$ and $J_\alpha(x)$. The following formulas are found in Proposition 11 of [1]. Let $\tilde{h}_m$ denote the functions in that paper; then our functions h_m described in (1.13) and (1.12) are normalized as

$$h_m = \begin{cases} -\frac{3m^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\,\tilde{h}_m & \text{if } m > 0, \\ |m|^{3/2}\,\tilde{h}_m & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Suppose that $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ are not both negative. By Propositions 8 and 11 of [1] we have

$$p(m,n) = \begin{cases} 2\pi|mn|^{-1/4} \displaystyle\sum_{c>0} \frac{K(m',n';c)}{c} I_{3/2}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{|mn|}}{6c}\right) & \text{if } mn < 0, \\ 4(mn)^{-1/4} \displaystyle\sum_{c>0} \frac{K(m',n';c)}{c} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} J_{s-1/2}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{mn}}{6c}\right)\Big|_{s=2}\right] & \text{if } mn > 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

To prove Theorem 2 we will show that the traces $\mathrm{Tr}_v(m,n)$ can also be expressed as infinite series involving Kloosterman sums and Bessel functions. This is essentially accomplished in the following proposition. To simplify the statement of the proposition for square and non-square positive discriminants, we set $P_{v,Q}(\tau,s) := P_v(\tau,s)$ whenever Q has positive non-square discriminant. The functions $P_v(\tau)$, $P_v(\tau,s)$, and $P_{v,Q}(\tau,s)$ are defined in (2.9), (2.7), and (3.13), respectively.

Proposition 7. *Suppose that $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and that m is squarefree. If $mn < 0$ then*

$$|mn|^{-1/2} \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) P_v(\tau_Q)$$
$$= 2\pi|mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{d|v} \sqrt{d}\left(\frac{12}{d}\right)\left(\frac{m}{v/d}\right) \sum_{c>0} \frac{K((d^2m)',n';c)}{c} I_{3/2}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{|d^2mn|}}{6c}\right). \tag{5.3}$$

If $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ *and* $m, n > 0$ *then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) \int_{C_Q} P_{v,Q}(\tau, s) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}$$
$$= 4(mn)^{-1/4} \sum_{d|v} \sqrt{d} \left(\frac{12}{d}\right) \left(\frac{m}{v/d}\right) \sum_{c>0} \frac{K((d^2 m)', n'; c)}{c} J_{s-1/2}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{d^2 mn}}{6c}\right). \quad (5.4)$$

Before proving Proposition 7, we remark that when $s = 2$, the right-hand side of (5.4) is often identically zero. This follows from equation (3.15) of [1], which states that

$$\sum_{c>0} \frac{K(m', n'; c)}{c} J_{3/2}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{mn}}{6c}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases}$$

The only situation in which the right-hand side of (5.4) does not vanish is when $n = mt^2$ for some integer t and $v = t\ell$, for some integer ℓ with $(\ell, m) = 1$. In that case (5.4) becomes

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{(mt)^2}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) \int_{C_Q} P_{v,Q}(\tau, 2) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\frac{12}{t}\right) \left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right).$$

Proof of Proposition 7. Suppose that $mn < 0$, and let $L_v^-(m, n)$ denote the left-hand side of (5.3). Using the definition of $P_v(\tau) = P_v(\tau, 2)$ in (2.7), we find that

$$L_v^-(m, n) = \tfrac{1}{6}|mn|^{-1/2} \sum_{d|6} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \mu(d)\, \chi_m(Q)\, \varphi_v\left(\gamma W_d\, \tau_Q, 2, 0\right).$$

Using P1 and P3 of Lemma 4 and equation (3.1), this becomes

$$L_v^-(m, n) = \tfrac{1}{6}|mn|^{-1/2} \sum_{d|6} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \mu(d)\, \chi_m(\gamma W_d\, Q)\, \varphi_v\left(\tau_{\gamma W_d\, Q}, 2, 0\right).$$

By (3.4) and (3.6) the map $(\gamma, d, Q) \mapsto \gamma W_d\, Q$ gives a bijection

$$\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \times \{1, 2, 3, 6\} \times \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)} \longleftrightarrow \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}. \quad (5.5)$$

If $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}$ and $Q' = W_d Q = [a, b, c]$ then $\mu(d) = \left(\frac{12}{b}\right)$ by (3.4) and (3.5). With $\mathcal{M}_{s,k}(y)$ as in (2.1), we have

$$L_v^-(m, n) = \tfrac{1}{6}|mn|^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn} \\ Q = [a,b,c]}} \left(\tfrac{12}{b}\right) \chi_m(Q) \mathcal{M}_{2,0}(4\pi v\, \mathrm{Im}\, \tau_Q) e(-v\, \mathrm{Re}\, \tau_Q).$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_{mn}$ contains only positive definite forms (those with $a > 0$) we have

$$\tau_Q = -\frac{b}{2a} + i\frac{\sqrt{|mn|}}{2a}.$$

By (13.1.32) and (13.6.6) of [27], we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{2,0}(4\pi v y) = M_{0,3/2}(4\pi v y) = 12\pi\sqrt{vy}\, I_{3/2}(2\pi v y),$$

which gives

$$L_v^-(m,n) = \pi\sqrt{2v}\,|mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{Q\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}\\ Q=[a,b,c]}} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \frac{\chi_m(Q)}{\sqrt{a}} I_{3/2}\left(\frac{\pi v\sqrt{|mn|}}{a}\right) e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

Now suppose that m and n are both positive, and let $L_v^+(m,n)$ denote the left-hand side of (5.4). As in (3.11), we write

$$d\tau_Q = \frac{\sqrt{mn}\,d\tau}{Q(\tau,1)}.$$

If mn is not a square, then by (2.7) we have

$$L_v^+(m,n) = \frac{C(s)}{2\pi\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{d|6} \mu(d) \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma} \int_{C_Q} \varphi_v(\gamma W_d\,\tau, s, 0)\,d\tau_Q. \tag{5.7}$$

For each Q, let $\Gamma_Q \subseteq \Gamma$ denote the stabilizer of Q. We rewrite the sum over $\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma$ as a sum over $\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_Q$ and a sum over $g \in \Gamma_Q$. Since $S_Q = \cup_{g\in\Gamma_Q} C_Q$, the inner sum in (5.7) becomes

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_Q} \int_{S_Q} \varphi_v(\gamma W_d\,\tau, s, 0)\,d\tau_Q.$$

In each integral, we replace τ by $W_d^{-1}\gamma^{-1}\tau$. Using (3.12) and P1 and P3 of Lemma 4, we obtain

$$L_v^+(m,n) = \frac{C(s)}{2\pi\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{d|6} \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_Q} \mu(d)\chi_m(\gamma W_d\,Q) \int_{S_{\gamma W_d\,Q}} \varphi_v(\tau, s, 0)\,d\tau_{\gamma W_d\,Q}. \tag{5.8}$$

As in (5.5), we have the bijection

$$\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_Q \times \{1,2,3,6\} \times \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)} \longleftrightarrow \Gamma_\infty\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}$$

given by $(\gamma, d, Q) \mapsto \gamma W_d\,Q$. Thus

$$L_v^+(m,n) = \frac{C(s)}{2\pi\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{\substack{Q\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}\\ Q=[a,b,c]}} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \chi_m(Q) \int_{S_Q} \mathcal{M}_{s,0}(4\pi v\,\mathrm{Im}\,\tau) e(-v\,\mathrm{Re}\,\tau)\,d\tau_Q. \tag{5.9}$$

In order to treat the square case together with the non-square case, we will show that, with the added condition $a \neq 0$ in the sum, (5.9) holds when mn is a square. Of course, $a \neq 0$ is implied in (5.9) when mn is not a square.

Suppose that $mn > 0$ is a square. For each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}$ define $\mathfrak{a}_{i,Q} := r_{i,Q}/s_{i,Q}$ as in (3.13). The stabilizer Γ_Q is trivial for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{mn}$ and, using (3.13), we have (5.8) with the added condition $\gamma W_d\,\mathfrak{a}_{i,Q} \neq \infty$ on the third sum; that is,

$$L_v^+(m,n) = \frac{C(s)}{2\pi\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{d|6} \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma\\ \gamma W_d\,\mathfrak{a}_{i,Q}\neq\infty}} \mu(d)\chi_m(\gamma W_d\,Q) \int_{S_{\gamma W_d\,Q}} \varphi_v(\tau, s, 0)\,d\tau_{\gamma W_d\,Q}. \tag{5.10}$$

The quadratic forms Q having $\infty = [1,0]$ as a root are of the form $Q = [0, \pm b, *]$, where $b = \sqrt{mn}$. Thus the condition $\gamma W_d\,\mathfrak{a}_{i,Q} \neq \infty$ is equivalent to $\gamma W_d\,Q \neq [0, \pm b, *]$. Applying

the bijection (5.5), which holds in this case since Γ_Q is trivial, we obtain (5.9) with the restriction $a \neq 0$ on the sum.

Treating the square and non-square case together, we assume that m and n are arbitrary positive integers satisfying $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. Suppose that $Q = [a, b, c]$. The apex of the semicircle S_Q is

$$-\frac{b}{2a} + i\frac{\sqrt{mn}}{2|a|},$$

so we parametrize S_Q by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= -\frac{b}{2a} + \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{2a} e^{i\,\mathrm{sgn}(a)\theta} \\ &= -\frac{b}{2a} + \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{2a}\cos\theta + i\frac{\sqrt{mn}}{2|a|}\sin\theta, \qquad 0 \le \theta \le \pi. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\tau, 1) &= a\left(\frac{b^2 - 2b\sqrt{mn}\, e^{i\,\mathrm{sgn}(a)\theta} + mn\, e^{2i\,\mathrm{sgn}(a)\theta}}{4a^2}\right) + b\left(\frac{-b + \sqrt{mn}\, e^{i\,\mathrm{sgn}(a)\theta}}{2a}\right) + c \\ &= \frac{mn}{4a}(e^{2i\,\mathrm{sgn}(a)\theta} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$d\tau_Q = \frac{\sqrt{mn}\, d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)} = \frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta}. \tag{5.12}$$

Combining (5.9), (5.11), and (5.12), we obtain

$$L_v^+(m, n) = \frac{C(s)}{2\pi\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{mn}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn} \\ Q=[a,b,c], a\neq 0}} R_Q(m, n),$$

where

$$R_Q(m, n) := \left(\frac{12}{b}\right)\chi_m(Q) e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right) \int_0^\pi \mathcal{M}_{s,0}\left(\frac{2\pi v\sqrt{mn}}{|a|}\sin\theta\right) e\left(-\frac{v\sqrt{mn}}{2a}\cos\theta\right)\frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta}.$$

For each $Q = [a, b, c] \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}$ with $a > 0$, we have (using P5 of Lemma 4)

$$\begin{aligned} &R_Q(m, n) + R_{-Q}(m, n) \\ &\qquad = 2\left(\frac{12}{b}\right)\chi_m(Q) e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right) \int_0^\pi \mathcal{M}_{s,0}\left(\frac{2\pi v\sqrt{mn}}{a}\sin\theta\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi v\sqrt{mn}}{a}\cos\theta\right)\frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

By (13.6.6) of [27] we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{s,0}(y) = M_{0,s-1/2}(y) = 2^{2s-1}\,\Gamma(s + 1/2)\,\sqrt{y}\, I_{s-1/2}(y/2),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} L_v^+(m, n) = \frac{2^{2s-1/2} C(s)\Gamma(s + 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(2s)(mn)^{1/4}} &\sum_{Q \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^+} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right)\chi_m(Q)\sqrt{\frac{v}{a}}\, e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right) \\ &\times \int_0^\pi I_{s-1/2}\left(\frac{\pi v\sqrt{mn}}{a}\sin\theta\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi v\sqrt{mn}}{a}\cos\theta\right)\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\sin\theta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{+}$ consists of those $Q = [a, b, c]$ with $a > 0$. Lemma 9 of [14] asserts that for $\operatorname{Re} s > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^\pi \cos(t\cos\theta) I_{s-1/2}(t\sin\theta) \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\sin\theta}} = 2^{s-1} \frac{\Gamma(s/2)^2}{\Gamma(s)} J_{s-1/2}(t).$$

Since

$$\frac{2^{3s-3/2} C(s) \Gamma(s+1/2) \Gamma(s/2)^2}{\sqrt{\pi}\, \Gamma(2s)\, \Gamma(s)} = 2\sqrt{2},$$

we obtain

$$L_v^{+}(m,n) = 2\sqrt{2v}\, (mn)^{-1/4} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{+}} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \frac{\chi_m(Q)}{\sqrt{a}}\, e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right) J_{s-1/2}\left(\frac{\pi v \sqrt{mn}}{a}\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Summarizing (5.6) and (5.14), we have

$$L_v^{\pm}(m,n) = \sqrt{2v}\, |mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{+} \\ Q=[a,b,c]}} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \frac{\chi_m(Q)}{\sqrt{a}} e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right) \phi^{\pm}\left(\frac{\pi v \sqrt{|mn|}}{a}\right), \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\phi^{-}(x) = \pi I_{3/2}(x),$$
$$\phi^{+}(x) = 2J_{s-1/2}(x).$$

Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)[a,b,c] = [a, b-2ka, *]$, we have a bijection

$$\Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{+} \longleftrightarrow \{(a,b) : a > 0,\ 6 \mid a,\ 0 \le b < 2a\},$$

which gives

$$L_v^{\pm}(m,n) =$$
$$\sqrt{2v}\, |mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{\substack{a>0 \\ 6|a}} a^{-1/2} \phi^{\pm}\left(\frac{\pi v \sqrt{|mn|}}{a}\right) \sum_{\substack{b \bmod 2a \\ \frac{b^2-mn}{4a} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \left(\frac{12}{b}\right) \chi_m\left([a, b, \tfrac{b^2-mn}{4a}]\right) e\left(\frac{bv}{2a}\right). \tag{5.16}$$

We write $a = 6c$ and find that the inner sum in (5.16) equals $\frac{1}{2} S_v(m, n; 24c)$ (see (1.19)), so

$$L_v^{\pm}(m,n) = \frac{\sqrt{v}}{2\sqrt{3}} |mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{c>0} \frac{S_v(m,n;24c)}{\sqrt{c}} \phi^{\pm}\left(\frac{\pi v \sqrt{|mn|}}{6c}\right).$$

Applying Proposition 6, we obtain

$$L_v^{\pm}(m,n) = 2\sqrt{v}\, |mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{c>0} c^{-1/2} \phi^{\pm}\left(\frac{\pi v \sqrt{|mn|}}{6c}\right)$$
$$\times \sum_{u|(v,c)} \left(\frac{12}{v/u}\right) \left(\frac{m}{u}\right) \sqrt{\frac{u}{c}} K\left(\left(\tfrac{v^2}{u^2} m\right)', u'; c/u\right).$$

We replace c by cu and switch the order of summation to obtain

$$L_v^{\pm}(m,n) = 2|mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{u|v} \left(\frac{12}{v/u}\right) \left(\frac{m}{u}\right) \sqrt{\frac{v}{u}} \sum_{c>0} \frac{1}{c} K\left(\left(\tfrac{v^2}{u^2} m\right)', u'; c\right) \phi^{\pm}\left(\frac{\pi v/u \sqrt{|mn|}}{6c}\right).$$

Finally, letting $d = v/u$ we conclude that

$$L_v^{\pm}(m,n) = 2|mn|^{-1/4} \sum_{d|v} \sqrt{d} \left(\frac{12}{d}\right) \left(\frac{m}{v/d}\right) \sum_{c>0} \frac{K((d^2m)', n'; c)}{c} \phi^{\pm}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{|d^2mn|}}{6c}\right),$$

from which Proposition 7 follows. □

Theorem 2 now follows easily from Proposition 7.

Proof of Theorem 2. As above, we let $P_{v,Q}(\tau, s) := P_v(\tau, s)$ when Q has positive non-square discriminant. Then the definition of the traces in (1.10) becomes

$$\mathrm{Tr}_v(m,n) = \begin{cases} |mn|^{-1/2} \displaystyle\sum_{Q \in \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) P_v(\tau_Q) & \text{if } mn < 0, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{Q \in \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{mn}^{(1)}} \chi_m(Q) \int_{C_Q} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_{v,Q}(\tau, s)\Big|_{s=2}\right] \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau,1)} & \text{if } mn > 0. \end{cases}$$

When $mn < 0$, (1.15) follows immediately from (5.2) and (5.3). When $m, n > 0$, we take the derivative of each side with respect to s, then set $s = 2$. Comparing the resulting equation with (5.2) gives (1.15). □

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Jan Bruinier for encouraging him to investigate the arithmetic nature of the coefficients $p(m, n)$.

References

[1] Scott Ahlgren and Nickolas Andersen. Weak harmonic maass forms of weight 5/2 and a mock modular form for the partition function. *Preprint*, arXiv:1312.1943 [math.NT].
[2] Nickolas Andersen. Periods of the j-function along infinite geodesics and mock modular forms. *Preprint*.
[3] Bruce C. Berndt, Ronald J. Evans, and Kenneth S. Williams. *Gauss and Jacobi sums*. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[4] Richard E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms on $\mathrm{O}_{s+2,2}(\mathbf{R})$ and infinite products. *Invent. Math.*, 120(1):161–213, 1995.
[5] Kathrin Bringmann and Ken Ono. The $f(q)$ mock theta function conjecture and partition ranks. *Invent. Math.*, 165(2):243–266, 2006.
[6] Kathrin Bringmann and Ken Ono. An arithmetic formula for the partition function. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(11):3507–3514 (electronic), 2007.
[7] Kathrin Bringmann and Ken Ono. Dyson's ranks and Maass forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(1):419–449, 2010.
[8] Jan Bruinier and Ken Ono. Heegner divisors, L-functions and harmonic weak Maass forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(3):2135–2181, 2010.
[9] Jan H. Bruinier, Jens Funke, and Ozlem Imamoglu. Regularized theta liftings and periods of modular functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear*.
[10] Jan Hendrik Bruinier. Harmonic Maass forms and periods. *Math. Ann.*, 357(4):1363–1387, 2013.
[11] Jan Hendrik Bruinier and Jens Funke. On two geometric theta lifts. *Duke Math. J.*, 125(1):45–90, 2004.
[12] Jan Hendrik Bruinier and Ken Ono. Algebraic formulas for the coefficients of half-integral weight harmonic weak Maass forms. *Adv. Math.*, 246:198–219, 2013.
[13] W. Duke. Modular functions and the uniform distribution of CM points. *Math. Ann.*, 334(2):241–252, 2006.

[14] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoḡlu, and Á. Tóth. Cycle integrals of the j-function and mock modular forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(2):947–981, 2011.
[15] Wilhelm Fischer. On Dedekind's function $\eta(\tau)$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 1:83–95, 1951.
[16] B. Gross, W. Kohnen, and D. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. II. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):497–562, 1987.
[17] Godfrey H Hardy and Srinivasa Ramanujan. Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(1):75–115, 1918.
[18] C. Hooley. An asymptotic formula in the theory of numbers. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 7:396–413, 1957.
[19] Henryk Iwaniec. *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, volume 17 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
[20] Paul Jenkins. Kloosterman sums and traces of singular moduli. *J. Number Theory*, 117(2):301–314, 2006.
[21] Anthony W. Knapp. *Elliptic curves*, volume 40 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992.
[22] Winfried Kohnen. Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. *Math. Ann.*, 271(2):237–268, 1985.
[23] Ken Ono. *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q-series*, volume 102 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
[24] Ken Ono. Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2008*, pages 347–454. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
[25] Hans Rademacher. On the Partition Function $p(n)$. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, S2-43(4):241.
[26] Hans Rademacher. On the expansion of the partition function in a series. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 44:416–422, 1943.
[27] Irene A. Stegun, editor. *Pocketbook of mathematical functions*. Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun, 1984. Abridged edition of *Handbook of mathematical functions* edited by Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun.
[28] Árpád Tóth. On the evaluation of Salié sums. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(3):643–645 (electronic), 2005.
[29] André Weil. On some exponential sums. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 34:204–207, 1948.
[30] Albert Leon Whiteman. A sum connected with the series for the partition function. *Pacific J. Math.*, 6:159–176, 1956.
[31] Don Zagier. Traces of singular moduli. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)*, volume 3 of *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, pages 211–244. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
[32] Don Zagier. Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann). *Astérisque*, (326):Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.
[33] S. P. Zwegers. Mock θ-functions and real analytic modular forms. In *q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics (Urbana, IL, 2000)*, volume 291 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 269–277. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
[34] Sander P. Zwegers. Mock theta functions. *Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht*, 2002.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801
E-mail address: nandrsn4@illinois.edu